# IR\_METADATA: AN EXTENSIBLE METADATA SCHEMA FOR IR EXPERIMENTS Timo Breuer, Jüri Keller, and Philipp Schaer TH Köln - University of Applied Sciences, Germany, firstname.lastname@th-koeln.de

# **Motivations and contributions**

- Shared task conferences (CLEF, NTCIR, TREC) archive **experimental artifacts**, i.e., run files
- Runs are a **valuable resource** for baselines and metaevaluations but **the data does not provide context**
- Annotating run files with metadata information facilitates better comparability, transparency, and reproducibility

#### As a solution, we contribute:

• Metadata schema based on the **PRIMAD** taxonomy

### Software support

Currently, the software supports ...

- •... the metadata **I/O handling** and automatic annotations of some components. The MetadataHandler can fetch information about the underlying platform and the implementation automatically.
- •... the **analysis of runs** with metadata annotations. The MetadataAnalyzer analyzes a directory that contains run files, and afterward, the **PrimadExperi**ment evaluates the runs (examples are shown by the meta-evaluations).

### Meta-evaluations

We group the annotated runs into **three categories** based on how the reimplementations relate to the original runs in terms of **PRIMAD**. For instance, in the first experiment, we have just modified the method while keeping all of the other components fixed. In the second experiment, we varied all of the PRIMAD componets except for the data. And finally, in the third experiment, we varied all of the PRIMAD components.

#### **PRIM'AD: Parameter sweeps**

• Software support by repro\_eval

- Open-access dataset
- Meta-evaluations / reproducibility studies

# Metadata schema and annotations

- The schema is based on **PRIMAD**, intended to be **ex**tensible, and we are open for **proposals of new meta**data fields.
- **PRIMAD** is a taxonomy that is based on the components that can affect the **reproducibility** of an IR experiment.
- We extend the taxonomy which allows a more detailed description of the experiments. The **project's website** provides **checklists** for each PRIMAD component.
- The annotations follow the **YAML syntax** and are added to the beginning of the TREC run files as a comment similar to a **file header** that tells us something about the rankings. **trec\_eval** will support comments like these in future releases.

All of the software features are added to **repro\_eval**, which is a toolkit for reproducibility experiments. A Google Colab notebook exemplifies how the software and metadata can be used in your own implementations.

## Dataset

We provide an annotated dataset that contains 463 run files. All of the runs are based on **cross-collection re**levance feedback as introduced by Grossman and Cormack as part of TREC Common Core in 2017 and 2018. Furthermore, we annotate **reimplementations** by Yu et al. (TREC, 2018; ECIR, 2019) and by us (SIGIR, 2020; CLEF, 2021). The dataset is hosted on **Zenodo** with DOI 10.5281/zenodo.5997491.

#### **Cross-collection relevance feedback**





#### **P'R'I'M'A'D:** Reproducibility analysis

Actor	GC	YXL	BFFMSSS		
Baseline					
Average Precision	0.3711	0.4018	0.3612		
Kendall's $ au$ Union	1.0000	0.0086	0.0051		
Rank-Biased Overlap	1.0000	0.1630	0.5747		
Root Mean Square Error	0.0000	0.1911	0.1071		
p-value	1.0000	0.1009	0.7885		
Advanced					
Average Precision	0.4278	0.4487	0.4208		
Kendall's $ au$ Union	1.0000	0.0069	0.0111		
Rank-Biased Overlap	1.0000	0.2231	0.6706		
Root Mean Square Error	0.0000	0.2088	0.0712		
p-value	1.0000	0.2785	0.8249		
Overall effects					
Effect Ratio	1.0000	0.8267	1.0514		
$\Delta$ Relative Improvement	0.0000	0.0362	-0.0123		

#### Example

ir\_metadata.start platform: hardware: ... operating system: ... software: ... research goal: # venue: ... publication: ... evaluation: ... implementation: executable: ... source: ... method: automatic: ... indexing: ... retrieval: ... # actor: name: ... orcid: ... # team: ... # fields: ... # mail: ... role: ... degree: ... # data: test collection: name: ...  $C \cap U \subset C \cap \cdot$ 

- 1. Derive tfidf representations of documents for a given topic from one or two source collections
- 2. Train a relevance classifier with the tridf representations and relevance labels
- 3. Rank documents of the target collection

#### **P'R'I'M'A'D':** Generalization



### Resources

• **Website** https://www.ir-metadata.org/ • </>> Google Colab notebook https://colab.research.google.com/ github/irgroup/ir\_metadata/blob/master/

#	50	urce	•			
#	qr	els:				
#	to	pics:	•			
#	ir	_dataset	s:	• • •		
# ir	_met	adata.en	d			
307	QO	497476	1	0.9931	bm25	
307	QO	469928	2	0.9674	bm25	
307	Q0	125806	3	0.9623	bm25	
307	Q O	504815	4	0.9453	bm25	
307	QO	392547	5	0.9223	bm25	
• • •	·					

#### **Dataset constellation**

Actor	Method	Data	Runs
GC		Core17	2
YXL	GC'17	Robust $04/05$ , Core $17/18$	327
BFFMSSS		Core17	100
GC	$CC'^{18}$	Core18	2
BPS	GU 10	Robust $04/05$ , Core $17/18$	32

resources/demo.ipynb

#### • **D**ataset

https://zenodo.org/record/5997491

• C Slides

https://breuert.github.io/

ir-metadata-slides

• **C** repro\_reval https://github.com/irgroup/repro\_eval

#### ir-metadata.org

